



Submission on the Review of E-Mobility Device Carriage on Public Transport Networks

Prepared by the Australian Electric Vehicle Association (AEVA)

The Australian Electric Vehicle Association (AEVA) welcomes the opportunity to contribute to the important public discussions initiated by the Victorian Department of Transport and Planning and Transport for New South Wales regarding the carriage of personal e-mobility devices on public transport networks^{1,2}.

As Australia's peak body representing all consumers and end-users of electric mobility since 1973, AEVA is committed to ensuring any electric transport solution is managed safely, responsibly, and for the benefit of all.

Summary of Recommendations

- Policy alignment on the regulatory review process
- Establish a joint national review and working group
- Adopt a practical interim measure for first- and last-mile transport
- Engage with key stakeholders and representative bodies, including AEVA

Introduction

Electric bikes and other e-rideables are enormously popular in Australia for good reason - their low cost, ease of access and versatility affords zero-emission transport to tens of thousands of Australians each day. However we also acknowledge the legitimate safety concerns around fires, particularly those occurring on public transport, which have prompted these reviews. Recent incidents both locally and internationally, underscore the need for a robust, yet evidence-based safety framework³.

Reports from NSW Fire and Rescue of 183 lithium-ion battery fires in the current year alone, with more than a third involving e-micromobility devices, provide a clear mandate for considered action⁴. AEVA shares the governments' primary objective: to ensure the absolute safety of passengers and staff across the public transport system.

This submission is presented as a constructive proposal for a more effective, targeted, and collaborative regulatory pathway; one that addresses the root cause of the risk without penalising the vast majority of responsible people who rely on safe, certified devices for their daily transport needs.

The approach being considered by the NSW Government (a temporary suspension of carriage pending a comprehensive review and public consultation) is a prudent model². A permanent ban, as proposed in Victoria's draft regulations, could potentially close the door on a collaborative process. AEVA therefore advocates for Victoria to align with the NSW model, allowing for a joint review process which can offer guidance for the national strategy both states have called for. AEVA is willing to provide advice and input on a workable solution with both governments.



The E-Mobility Imperative: Preserving the Benefits

E-mobility devices are a proven and highly effective solution to the persistent "first and last-mile" problem. That is, the challenge of connecting commuters between their homes or workplaces and public transport hubs. By extending the effective catchment area of train stations, PMDs make public transport a viable and attractive option for a much larger segment of the population.

Data from the NSW Government's e-scooter trials confirms this critical function, revealing that 47% of trips are used to connect with public transport. Banning these devices⁵ from the network would sever this vital link, diminishing the utility and accessibility of the entire public transport system.

The societal benefits extend far beyond public transport integration. E-mobility is a key enabler of mode shift away from private car dependency. The same NSW data shows that 35% of e-scooter trips in cities and 45% in regional areas directly displace car trips. Unless more frequent rail and bus services are offered where e-rideables are banned, driving a car from start to finish will be the inevitable outcome.

Bicycle NSW, in its response to the proposed ban, states the affected users include seniors who rely on e-bikes for fitness and social connection, individuals with disabilities or chronic illnesses and lower-income workers, including those in the gig economy. For these people, multi-modal transport is simply an economic necessity, so the resulting loss of mobility, independence, and economic opportunity is a serious impact.

Victoria's own draft regulations which have retained permission to carry foldable electric mobility devices on trams and buses, acknowledges this reality¹. This existing, nuanced approach, which is also in place on some NSW bus services, provides a common-sense foundation for any interim measures. AEVA has advocated for a similar approach in Western Australia where any small e-rideable can be taken on Transperth trains and buses (where applicable).

The Risks of Uncertified Devices

The insurance industry and fire authorities acknowledge that the fire risk associated with e-mobility is not an inherent flaw in lithium-ion battery technology itself. Rather, it stems from poorly designed, cheaply manufactured, and largely uncertified products satisfying incredible demand from a market hungry for low-cost, zero emission transport options. A blanket ban is a disproportionate, and arguably unenforceable approach which fails to distinguish between safe, compliant devices and hazardous, non-compliant ones.

The Insurance Council of Australia (ICA) unequivocally states that the high risk of battery fire from personal mobility devices is "primarily due to poor design and manufacturing, high wear and tear, poor regulation and enforcement"^{6,7}. Any increased fire risk is not a failure of the technology, but the response of manufacturers to market demand.

Data from EV FireSafe supports this conclusion, showing that while PMD battery fires are occurring weekly in Australia and have resulted in at least one verified death, the fire risk from highly regulated, road-registered electric vehicles (EVs) is "very low"⁸. Production electric cars are subject to stringent Australian Design Rules (ADRs) and testing protocols, and accordingly catch fire at least one-twentieth of the frequency of internal combustion engine vehicles¹.

The successful safety record of registered EVs demonstrates that, when robust standards are mandated and enforced, the risks associated with high-capacity lithium-ion batteries can be effectively managed^{9,10}. The same approach can apply to PMDs: instead of banning the entire



category, efforts should be made to implement and enforce certification regime which removes unsafe products from the market.

This approach has already been successfully implemented in other jurisdictions. Both New York City and Singapore have mandated third-party certification to the UL 2272 safety standard for all PMDs sold or used in their territories, effectively managing the risk without resorting to a complete prohibition¹¹. The U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) has likewise formally called on all manufacturers to comply with the UL 2272 and UL 2849 standards^{13,14}. Australia could easily follow a similar approach for imported devices which are already captured by our current road rules.

The current regulatory vacuum in Australia has created what economists term a "market for lemons." Consumers, lacking the technical expertise to assess the safety of a battery system, often rely on price as the primary differentiator. Reputable manufacturers who invest significant capital in comprehensive safety testing and certification, a process that can cost tens of thousands of dollars per model, are placed at a severe competitive disadvantage.

A ban on public transport usage does not solve this underlying market failure. It merely removes a key legitimate use case, potentially driving demand for uncertified (and potentially risky) devices, or more likely, would see people return to their cars.

The only sustainable solution is to correct the market failure at its source by making safety certification a visible, and valuable requirement for all high-capacity battery devices sold in Australia.

Battery Capacity as a Risk Factor

The regulations governing what constitutes a compliant e-rideable relates only to its top speed in operation, but it does not address a major factor in calculating fire risk - the battery capacity. The motor may be limited to under 250 W, but a high capacity battery affording over 100 km of range would store in excess of 1000 watt-hours (Wh) of energy. The risk of fire initiating is no different to any other device, but the capacity is a multiplying factor in the consequence of a fire should one start.

Any proposed certification requirement would apply to devices containing lithium-ion batteries with a capacity greater than 100 watt-hours (Wh) - the established international safety standard used by the International Air Transport Association (IATA) for the carriage of batteries on aircraft. Devices under 100 Wh include cameras, laptops, mobile phones and some cordless tool battery packs.

Internationally Recognised Certification Standards

A credible and effective safety framework already exists in the form of internationally recognised, system-level safety standards developed by organisations like Underwriters Laboratories (UL)^{12,13}.

These standards go far beyond simple component checks, evaluating the entire electrical system, including the battery pack, the charger, and the device's control circuitry, as an integrated unit to ensure all parts work together safely^{18,19}. Adopting these international standards could allow for a compliance regime which is easy to follow.

The following table outlines the key standards that could form the basis of the proposed certification framework.

Device Category	Recommended Standard(s)	Scope of Certification	Key Safety Protections
Personal E-Mobility (e-scooters, e-skateboards, hoverboards)	UL 2272	System-level evaluation of the electrical drive train, battery system, and charger combination.	Mitigates fire, explosion, and electrical shock hazards through rigorous testing for overcharging, short circuits, extreme temperatures, vibration, water exposure, and mechanical impact.
E-Bikes (Electrically Power Assisted Cycles)	UL 2849 / EN 15194	System-level evaluation of the entire e-bike electrical system, including the battery, charger, motor controller, and wiring. ¹⁷	Assesses the holistic electrical and fire safety of the integrated system, ensuring components are compatible and function safely together to prevent thermal runaway and electrical malfunctions. ¹³
Power Tools & Outdoor Equipment (Drills, mowers, etc.)	IEC/UL 62841	Safety of electric, motor-operated, or magnetically driven tools, including their battery systems. ²⁰	Addresses a comprehensive range of electrical, mechanical, thermal, and fire hazards associated with high-power, battery-operated tools and machinery. ²¹

These standards provide a clear means for differentiating safe devices from unsafe ones. Certification which is easily identified by consumers, retailers, insurers, and regulators ensures the likelihood of low-quality and high risk devices entering the market is reduced.

The successful implementation of a national safety framework requires collaboration between all levels of government, key departments such as consumer affairs and emergency services, retailers and key consumer groups like AEVA, but also Bicycle NSW, We Ride Australia and others²²⁻²⁹. AEVA recommends the formation of a joint working group on electromobility safety which could investigate options for implementing a pathway for certification, with guidance from the insurance industry given their acute understanding of risk.

Recommendations

The AEVA makes the following recommendations:

1: Policy Alignment on the Regulatory Review Process

It is recommended that the Victorian Government pauses the implementation of a permanent ban on e-mobility devices as outlined in the Transport (Compliance and Miscellaneous) (Conduct on Public Transport) Regulations 2025 exposure draft.



AEVA recommends that Victoria instead aligns with the NSW approach of a temporary, consultative review, thereby creating a harmonised process across both states to allow for the development of a holistic, evidence-based national solution.

2: Establish a National Working Group

It is recommended that state governments, in partnership with the Commonwealth Government, establish a formal Joint Working Group on E-Mobility Safety. The primary mandate of this group should be to investigate and develop a national framework for e-mobility safety based on the proposed model of linking home and contents insurance coverage to mandatory, internationally recognised safety certifications.

3: Adopt a Practical Interim Measure for First/Last-Mile Transport

It is recommended that any interim measures adopted during the review period are designed to minimise disruption to essential first and last-mile transport connections. Specifically, this should include maintaining the carriage of foldable e-scooters on all public transport modes, a practical measure consistent with existing rules on some services and with the principle of proportionate, risk-based regulation.

4: Include key Stakeholders

AEVA recommends a wide range of stakeholders be invited to participate in the review process and any subsequent working groups. AEVA's long history of representing users and builders of electric vehicles allows us to provide valuable input, particularly around the safe construction and packaging of batteries subject to the elements. Other user groups like Bicycle NSW, We Ride Australia and other active transport advocates should also participate, as they too are familiar with the impact of specific bans on e-mobility devices. In addition to relevant government departments, the Insurance Council of Australia would surely offer valuable insights around fire risks and financial consequences.

The AEVA would welcome the opportunity to contribute further to this important matter, and is keen to engage with the Victorian government at any opportunity. The committee is welcome to contact the association on the details below.

Sincerely,

Rick Molloy secretary@vic.aeva.asn.au

Secretary Victorian Branch

The Australian Electric Vehicle Association

Works cited

1. Conduct on Public Transport Regulations | Engage Victoria, accessed on August 15, 2025, <https://engage.vic.gov.au/public-transport-regulations>
2. Changes to using e-micromobility on public transport - Have your say - NSW Government, accessed on August 15, 2025, <https://www.haveyoursay.nsw.gov.au/changes-using-e-micromobility-public-transport>
3. Community consultation into e-bikes on public transport | NSW Government, accessed on August 15, 2025, <https://www.nsw.gov.au/ministerial-releases/community-consultation-into-e-bikes-on-public-transport>
4. Community Consultation Into E-bikes On Public Transport | Mirage News, accessed on August 15, 2025, <https://www.miragenews.com/community-consultation-into-e-bikes-on-public-1513868/>
5. Electric scooters, bikes may be banned from NSW trains over battery fire risks - NewsCop, accessed on August 17, 2025, <https://newscop.com.au/2025/08/13/electric-devices-bikes-face-nsw-ban/>
6. Briefing note: Managing fire risk from electrified transport in ..., accessed on August 15, 2025, https://insurancecouncil.com.au/wp-content/uploads/2024/03/ICA_Briefing_Managing-fire-risk-EVs_Nov-2023.pdf
7. Insurance Council of Australia 666 - Inquiry into e-mobility safety and use in Queensland, accessed on August 15, 2025, <https://documents.parliament.qld.gov.au/com/SDIWC-1AF9/IQ-3C82/submissions/00000666.pdf>
8. Managing fire risk from electrified transport in residential buildings - NSW Parliament, accessed on August 15, 2025, <https://www.parliament.nsw.gov.au/ladocs/other/19328/Tendered%20Document%20-%20Insurance%20Council%20of%20Australia%20-%2026%20March%202024.pdf>
9. Submission No 17 ELECTRIC AND HYBRID VEHICLE BATTERIES - NSW Parliament, accessed on August 17, 2025, <https://www.parliament.nsw.gov.au/ladocs/submissions/83055/Submission%2017%20-%20Insurance%20Council%20of%20Australia.pdf>
10. Charging Ahead: Electric Vehicles & Insurance, accessed on August 15, 2025, <https://www.aph.gov.au/DocumentStore.ashx?id=fff9e382-0d13-4ade-a29e-87b036063be9&subId=763357>
11. Personal e-Mobility Evaluation, Testing and Certification - UL Solutions, accessed on August 15, 2025, <https://www.ul.com/services/personal-e-mobility-evaluation-testing-and-certification>
12. UL2272 fire safety standard for motorised personal mobility devices ..., accessed on August 15, 2025, https://www.lta.gov.sg/content/dam/ltagov/getting_around/active_mobility/rules_public_education/rules_code_of_conduct/pdf/UL2272_standard.pdf
13. E-Bikes Certification: Evaluating and Testing to UL 2849, accessed on August 15, 2025, <https://www.ul.com/services/e-bikes-certificationevaluating-and-testing-ul-2849>
14. CPSC-Letter-to-STPs-2849-and-2272-February-10-2023 (1), accessed on August 17, 2025, <https://www.cpsc.gov/s3fs-public/CPSC-Letter-to-STPs-2849-and-2272-February-10-2023.pdf?VersionId=ndtrZ8Gp6E>
15. Fire Risk of Electrified Personal Mobility Devices (PMDs) - Sotera Fire Engineering, accessed on August 17, 2025, <https://www.sotera.com.au/fire-risk-of-electrified-personal-mobility-devices-pmds/>
16. Safety measures for e-scooter and e-bike owners - Insurance Council of Australia, accessed on August 17, 2025, <https://insurancecouncil.com.au/resource/e-scooter-and-e-bike-owners/>
17. UL Certified E-Bike Battery: Your UL2849 Safety Guide, accessed on August 17, 2025, <https://leoguarbikes.com/blogs/news/ul-certified-e-bike-battery-ul2849-guide>
18. Velotric E-Bikes: Ride Confidently with Safety Certification, accessed on August 17, 2025, <https://www.velotricbike.com/pages/ul-certification>
19. Understanding the UL Certification - UL 2849 & UL 2271 - Velotric, accessed on August 15, 2025, <https://velotric.zendesk.com/hc/en-us/articles/17034960529435-Understanding-the-UL-Certification-UL-2849-UL-2271>
20. IEC 62841 Series: Tool Testing & Certification - Intertek, accessed on August 15, 2025, <https://www.intertek.com/appliances/resources/iec-62841-overview-fs/>
21. Navigating IEC/UL/CSA 62841 for Power Tool Safety - IAEI Magazine, accessed on August 17, 2025, <https://iaeimagazine.org/columns/certification-insights/navigating-iec-ul-csa-62841-for-power-tool-safety/>
22. Bicycle NSW - Peak advocacy body for bike riders in NSW, accessed on August 17, 2025, <https://bicyclensw.org.au/>
23. Advocacy - Bicycle NSW, accessed on August 17, 2025, <https://bicyclensw.org.au/advocacy/>
24. Bicycle Network | Making bike riding easier for everyone, accessed on August 17, 2025, <https://bicyclenetwork.com.au/>
25. Our Advocacy | Improving bike riding conditions - Bicycle Network, accessed on August 17, 2025, <https://bicyclenetwork.com.au/our-advocacy/>
26. Advocacy - Bicycle Queensland, accessed on August 17, 2025, <https://bq.org.au/advocacy/>
27. Advocacy & Position Statements - Bicycle Queensland, accessed on August 17, 2025, <https://bq.org.au/advocacy-statement/>
28. We Ride Australia - Australian Sports Foundation, accessed on August 17, 2025, <https://asf.org.au/campaigns/we-ride-australia>
29. We Ride Australia, accessed on August 17, 2025, <https://www.weride.org.au/>
30. IATA Battery Guidance Document, accessed on August 17, 2025, <https://www.iata.org/contentassets/05e6d8742b0047259bf3a700bc9d42b9/lithium-battery-guidance-document.pdf>